## Motivation for Intelligent Control

## Pro's and Con's of Conventional Control

+ systematic approach, mathematically elegant
+ theoretical guarantees of stability and robustness
- time-consuming, conceptually difficult
- control engineering expertise necessary
- often insufficient for nonlinear systems


## When Conventional Design Fails

- no model of the process available $\rightarrow$ mathematical synthesis and analysis impossible
$\rightarrow$ experimental tuning may be difficult
- process (highly) nonlinear
$\rightarrow$ linear controller cannot stabilize
$\rightarrow$ performance limits


## Example: Stability Problems

$$
\frac{d^{3} y(t)}{d t^{3}}+\frac{d^{2} y(t)}{d t^{2}}+\frac{d y(t)}{d t}=y^{2}(t) u(t)
$$

Use Simulink to simulate a proportional controller (nlpid.m)

## Example: Stability Problems

$$
\frac{d^{3} y(t)}{d t^{3}}+\frac{d^{2} y(t)}{d t^{2}}+\frac{d y(t)}{d t}=y^{2}(t) u(t)
$$

Use Simulink to simulate a proportional controller (nlpid.m)
Conclusions:

- stability and performance depend on process output
- re-tuning the controller does not help
- nonlinear control is the only solution


## Intelligent Control

techniques motivated by human intelligence

- fuzzy systems (represent human knowledge, reasoning)
- artificial neural networks (adaptation, learning)
- genetic algorithms (optimization)
- particle swarm optimization
- etc.


## Intelligent Control

techniques motivated by human intelligence

- fuzzy systems (represent human knowledge, reasoning)
- artificial neural networks (adaptation, learning)
- genetic algorithms (optimization)
- particle swarm optimization
- etc.
- Fuzzy knowledge-based control
- Fuzzy data analysis, modeling, identification
- Learning and adaptive control (neural networks)
- Reinforcement learning


## Fuzzy Control I

## Outline

(1) Fuzzy sets and set-theoretic operations
(2) Fuzzy relations
(3) Fuzzy systems
(4) Linguistic model, approximate reasoning

## Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic

Relatively new methods for representing uncertainty and reasoning under uncertainty.

Types of uncertainty:

- chance, randomness (stochastic)
- imprecision, vagueness, ambiguity (non-stochastic)


## Classical Set Theory

A set is a collection of objects with a common property.
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## Classical Set Theory

A set is a collection of objects with a common property.
Examples:

- Set of natural numbers smaller than 5: $A=\{1,2,3,4\}$
- Unit disk in the complex plane: $A=\{z|z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq 1\}$
- $A$ line in $\mathbb{R}^{2}: A=\{(x, y) \mid a x+b y+c=0,(x, y, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}\}$


## Representation of Sets

- Enumeration of elements: $A=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$
- Definition by property: $A=\{x \in X \mid x$ has property $P\}$
- Characteristic function: $\mu_{A}(x): X \rightarrow\{0,1\}$

$$
\mu_{A}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \text { is member of } A \\ 0 & x \text { is not member of } A\end{cases}
$$

## Set of natural numbers smaller than 5



## Fuzzy sets

## Why Fuzzy Sets?

- Classical sets are good for well-defined concepts (maths, programs, etc.)
- Less suitable for representing commonsense knowledge in terms of vague concepts such as:
- a tall person, slippery road, nice weather, ...
- want to buy a big car with moderate consumption
- If the temperature is too low, increase heating a lot


## Classical Set Approach

set of tall people $A=\{h \mid h \geq 180\}$


## Logical Propositions

"John is tall" ... true or false
John's height: $\quad h_{\text {John }}=180.0 \quad \mu_{A}(180.0)=1$ (true)
$h_{\text {John }}=179.5 \quad \mu_{A}(179.5)=0$ (false)


## Fuzzy Set Approach



$$
\mu_{A}(h)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & h \text { is full member of } A & (h \geq 190) \\
(0,1) & h \text { is partial member of } A & (170<h<190) \\
0 & h \text { is not member of } A & (h \leq 170)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Fuzzy Logic Propositions

"John is tall" ...degree of truth John's height: $\quad h_{\text {John }}=180.0$
$\mu_{A}(180.0)=0.6$
$h_{\text {John }}=179.5$
$\mu_{A}(179.5)=0.56$
$h_{\text {Paul }}=201.0$
$\mu_{A}(201.0)=1$


## Subjective and Context Dependent



## Shapes of Membership Functions



## Representation of Fuzzy Sets

- Pointwise as a list of membership/element pairs:

$$
A=\left\{\mu_{A}\left(x_{1}\right) / x_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{A}\left(x_{n}\right) / x_{n}\right\}=\left\{\mu_{A}\left(x_{i}\right) / x_{i} \mid x_{i} \in X\right\}
$$

- As a list of $\alpha$-level/ $\alpha$-cut pairs:

$$
A=\left\{\alpha_{1} / A_{\alpha_{1}}, \alpha_{2} / A_{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, A_{\alpha_{n}}\right\}=\left\{\alpha_{i} / A_{\alpha_{i}} \mid \alpha_{i} \in(0,1)\right\}
$$

## Representation of Fuzzy Sets

- Analytical formula for the membership function:

$$
\mu_{A}(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

or more generally

$$
\mu(x)=\frac{1}{1+d(x, v)}
$$

$d(x, v) \ldots$ dissimilarity measure

Various shorthand notations: $\mu_{A}(x) \ldots A(x) \ldots a$

## Linguistic Variable



Basic requirements: coverage and semantic soundness

## Properties of fuzzy sets

## Support of a Fuzzy Set


support is an ordinary set

## Core (Kernel) of a Fuzzy Set

$$
\operatorname{core}(A)=\left\{x \mid \mu_{A}(x)=1\right\}
$$


core is an ordinary set

## $\alpha$-cut of a Fuzzy Set

$$
A_{\alpha}=\left\{x \mid \mu_{A}(x)>\alpha\right\} \quad \text { or } \quad A_{\alpha}=\left\{x \mid \mu_{A}(x) \geq \alpha\right\}
$$


$A_{\alpha}$ is an ordinary set

## Convex and Non-Convex Fuzzy Sets



A fuzzy set is convex $\Leftrightarrow$ all its $\alpha$-cuts are convex sets.

## Non-Convex Fuzzy Set: an Example



High-risk age for car insurance policy.

## Fuzzy Numbers and Singletons



Fuzzy linear regression: $y=\tilde{3} x_{1}+\tilde{5} x_{2}$

## Fuzzy set-theoretic operations

## Complement (Negation) of a Fuzzy Set



## Intersection (Conjunction) of Fuzzy Sets


$\mu_{A \cap B}(x)=\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(x)\right)$

## Other Intersection Operators (T-norms)

Probabilistic "and" (product operator):

$$
\mu_{A \cap B}(x)=\mu_{A}(x) \cdot \mu_{B}(x)
$$

Łukasiewicz "and" (bounded difference):

$$
\mu_{A \cap B}(x)=\max \left(0, \mu_{A}(x)+\mu_{B}(x)-1\right)
$$

Many other t-norms $\ldots[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$

## Union (Disjunction) of Fuzzy Sets


$\mu_{A \cup B}(x)=\max \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(x)\right)$

## Other Union Operators (T-conorms)

Probabilistic "or":

$$
\mu_{A \cup B}(x)=\mu_{A}(x)+\mu_{B}(x)-\mu_{A}(x) \cdot \mu_{B}(x)
$$

Łukasiewicz "or" (bounded sum):

$$
\mu_{A \cup B}(x)=\min \left(1, \mu_{A}(x)+\mu_{B}(x)\right)
$$

Many other t-conorms $\ldots[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$

## Demo of a Matlab tool

## Fuzzy Set in Multidimensional Domains



## Cylindrical Extension
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## Cylindrical Extension


$\operatorname{ext}_{x_{2}}(A)=\left\{\mu_{A}\left(x_{1}\right) /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X_{1} \times X_{2}\right\}$

Projection


## Projection onto $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$



$$
\operatorname{proj}_{x_{1}}(A)=\left\{\sup _{x_{2} \in X_{2}} \mu_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) / x_{1} \mid x_{1} \in X_{1}\right\}
$$

## Projection onto $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$



$$
\operatorname{proj}_{x_{2}}(A)=\left\{\sup _{x_{1} \in X_{1}} \mu_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) / x_{2} \mid x_{2} \in X_{2}\right\}
$$

## Intersection on Cartesian Product Space

An operation between fuzzy sets are defined in different domains results in a multi-dimensional fuzzy set.

Example: $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ :


## Intersection on Cartesian Product Space

An operation between fuzzy sets are defined in different domains results in a multi-dimensional fuzzy set.

Example: $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ :


## Intersection on Cartesian Product Space

An operation between fuzzy sets are defined in different domains results in a multi-dimensional fuzzy set.

Example: $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ :


## Intersection on Cartesian Product Space

An operation between fuzzy sets are defined in different domains results in a multi-dimensional fuzzy set.

Example: $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ :


## Fuzzy Relations

Classical relation represents the presence or absence of interaction between the elements of two or more sets.

With fuzzy relations, the degree of association (correlation) is represented by membership grades.

An n-dimensional fuzzy relation is a mapping

$$
R: X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{3} \cdots \times X_{n} \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

which assigns membership grades to all $n$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from the Cartesian product universe.

## Fuzzy Relations: Example

Example: $\quad R: x \approx y$ (" $x$ is approximately equal to $y$ ")

$$
\mu_{R}(x, y)=e^{-(x-y)^{2}}
$$




## Relational Composition

Given fuzzy relation $R$ defined in $X \times Y$ and fuzzy set $A$ defined in $X$, derive the corresponding fuzzy set $B$ defined in $Y$ :

$$
B=A \circ R=\operatorname{proj}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{ext}_{X \times Y}(A) \cap R\right)
$$

max-min composition:

$$
\mu_{B}(y)=\max _{x}\left(\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{R}(x, y)\right)\right)
$$

Analogous to evaluating a function.

## Graphical Interpretation: Crisp Function




## Graphical Interpretation: Interval Function




## Graphical Interpretation: Fuzzy Relation



## Max-Min Composition: Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{B}(y)=\max _{x}\left(\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{R}(x, y)\right)\right), \quad \forall y \\
{\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1.0 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 & 0.8 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 0.0
\end{array}\right]=}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Max-Min Composition: Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{B}(y)=\max _{x}\left(\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{R}(x, y)\right)\right), \quad \forall y \\
{\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1.0 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 & 0.8 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 0.0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.8
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Fuzzy Systems

## Fuzzy Systems

- Systems with fuzzy parameters

$$
y=\tilde{3} x_{1}+\tilde{5} x_{2}
$$

- Fuzzy inputs and states

$$
\dot{x}(t)=A x(t)+B u(t), \quad x(0)=\tilde{2}
$$

- Rule-based systems

If the heating power is high
then the temperature will increase fast

## Rule-based Fuzzy Systems

- Linguistic (Mamdani) fuzzy model

$$
\text { If } x \text { is } A \text { then } y \text { is } B
$$

- Fuzzy relational model

$$
\text { If } x \text { is } A \text { then } y \text { is } B_{1}(0.1), B_{2}(0.8)
$$

- Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model

$$
\text { If } x \text { is } A \text { then } y=f(x)
$$

## Linguistic Model

If $x$ is $A$ then $y$ is $B$
$x$ is $A-$ antecedent (fuzzy proposition)
$y$ is $B-$ consequent (fuzzy proposition)

## Linguistic Model

If $x$ is $A$ then $y$ is $B$
$x$ is $A$ - antecedent (fuzzy proposition)
$y$ is $B-$ consequent (fuzzy proposition)
Compound propositions (logical connectives, hedges):
If $x_{1}$ is very big and $x_{2}$ is not small

## Multidimensional Antecedent Sets

$A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ on $X_{1} \times X_{2}:$


## Partitioning of the Antecedent Space

conjunctive

other connectives


## Inference Mechanism

Given the if-then rules and an input fuzzy set, deduce the corresponding output fuzzy set.

- Formal approach based on fuzzy relations.
- Simplified approach (Mamdani inference).
- Interpolation (additive fuzzy systems).


## Formal Approach

(1) Represent each if-then rule as a fuzzy relation.
(2) Aggregate these relations in one relation representative for the entire rule base.
(3) Given an input, use relational composition to derive the corresponding output.

## Modus Ponens Inference Rule

Classical logic
if $x$ is $A$ then $y$ is $B$ $x$ is $A$
$y$ is $B$

Fuzzy logic
if $x$ is $A$ then $y$ is $B$
$x$ is $A^{\prime}$

## Relational Representation of Rules

If-then rules can be represented as a relation, using implications or conjunctions.

Classical implication

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \rightarrow B(\neg A \vee B)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $A \backslash B$ | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$
R:\{0,1\} \times\{0,1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}
$$

## Relational Representation of Rules

If-then rules can be represented as a relation, using implications or conjunctions.

Conjunction

| $A$ | $B$ | $A \wedge B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $A \backslash B$ | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$
R:\{0,1\} \times\{0,1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}
$$

## Fuzzy Implications and Conjunctions

Fuzzy implication is represented by a fuzzy relation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1] \\
\mu_{R}(x, y)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(y)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{I}(a, b)$ - implication function

| "classical" | Kleene-Diene | $\mathrm{I}(a, b)=\max (1-a, b)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Łukasiewicz | $\mathrm{I}(a, b)=\min (1,1-a+b)$ |
| T-norms | Mamdani | $\mathrm{I}(a, b)=\min (a, b)$ |
|  | Larsen | $\mathrm{I}(a, b)=a \cdot b$ |

## Inference With One Rule

(1) Construct implication relation:

$$
\mu_{R}(x, y)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(y)\right)
$$

## Inference With One Rule

(1) Construct implication relation:

$$
\mu_{R}(x, y)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(y)\right)
$$

(2) Use relational composition to derive $B^{\prime}$ from $A^{\prime}$ :

$$
B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \circ R
$$

## Graphical Illustration

$$
\mu_{R}(x, y)=\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(y)\right) \quad \mu_{B^{\prime}}(y)=\max _{x}\left(\min \left(\mu_{A^{\prime}}(x), \mu_{R}(x, y)\right)\right)
$$



## Inference With Several Rules

(1) Construct implication relation for each rule $i$ :

$$
\mu_{R_{i}}(x, y)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{A_{i}}(x), \mu_{B_{i}}(y)\right)
$$

(2) Aggregate relations $R_{i}$ into one:

$$
\mu_{R}(x, y)=\operatorname{aggr}\left(\mu_{R_{i}}(x, y)\right)
$$

The aggr operator is the minimum for implications and the maximum for conjunctions.
(3) Use relational composition to derive $B^{\prime}$ from $A^{\prime}$ :

$$
B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \circ R
$$

## Example: Conjunction

(1) Each rule

$$
\text { If } \tilde{x} \text { is } A_{i} \text { then } \tilde{y} \text { is } B_{i}
$$

is represented as a fuzzy relation on $X \times Y$ :

$$
R_{i}=A_{i} \times B_{i} \quad \mu_{R_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\mu_{A_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge \mu_{B_{i}}(\boldsymbol{y})
$$

## Example: Conjunction, Aggregation

(1) Each rule

$$
\text { If } \tilde{x} \text { is } A_{i} \text { then } \tilde{y} \text { is } B_{i}
$$

is represented as a fuzzy relation on $X \times Y$ :

$$
R_{i}=A_{i} \times B_{i} \quad \mu_{R_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\mu_{A_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge \mu_{B_{i}}(\boldsymbol{y})
$$

(2) The entire rule base's relation is the union:

$$
R=\bigcup_{i=1}^{K} R_{i} \quad \mu_{R}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\max _{1 \leq i \leq K}\left[\mu_{R_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\right]
$$

## Example: Conjunction, Aggregation, and Composition

(1) Each rule

$$
\text { If } \tilde{x} \text { is } A_{i} \text { then } \tilde{y} \text { is } B_{i}
$$

is represented as a fuzzy relation on $X \times Y$ :

$$
R_{i}=A_{i} \times B_{i} \quad \mu_{R_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\mu_{A_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge \mu_{B_{i}}(\boldsymbol{y})
$$

(2) The entire rule base's relation is the union:

$$
R=\bigcup_{i=1}^{K} R_{i} \quad \mu_{R}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\max _{1 \leq i \leq K}\left[\mu_{R_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\right]
$$

(3) Given an input value $A^{\prime}$ the output value $B^{\prime}$ is:

$$
B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \circ R \quad \mu_{B^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{y})=\max _{X}\left[\mu_{A^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge \mu_{R}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\right]
$$

## Example: Modeling of Liquid Level



- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Zero then $h$ is Zero
- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Med then $h$ is Med
- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Large then $h$ is Med




## $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ If Flow is Zero then Level is Zero



## $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ If Flow is Medium then Level is Medium



## $\mathcal{R}_{3}$ If Flow is Large then Level is Medium



## Aggregated Relation



## Simplified Approach

(1) Compute the match between the input and the antecedent membership functions (degree of fulfillment).
(2) Clip the corresponding output fuzzy set for each rule by using the degree of fulfillment.
(3) Aggregate output fuzzy sets of all the rules into one fuzzy set.

This is called the Mamdani or max-min inference method.

## Water Tank Example



- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Zero then $h$ is Zero
- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Med then $h$ is Med
- If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Large then $h$ is Med




## Mamdani Inference: Example




## Mamdani Inference: Example



Given a crisp (numerical) input ( $F_{\text {in }}$ ).

## If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Zero then ...



Determine the degree of fulfillment (truth) of the first rule.

## If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Zero then $h$ is Zero



Clip consequent membership function of the first rule.

## If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Medium then ...



Determine the degree of fulfillment (truth) of the second rule.

## If $F_{\text {in }}$ is Medium then $h$ is Medium



Clip consequent membership function of the second rule.

## Aggregation



Combine the result of the two rules (union).

## Defuzzification

conversion of a fuzzy set to a crisp value

(a) center of gravity

(b) mean of maxima

## Center-of-Gravity Method

$$
y_{0}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{F} \mu_{B^{\prime}}\left(y_{j}\right) y_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{F} \mu_{B^{\prime}}\left(y_{j}\right)}
$$

## Defuzzification



Compute a crisp (numerical) output of the model (center-of-gravity method).

